



Final Audit Report of the Commission on the Democratic Party of Arkansas

January 1, 2007 – December 31, 2008

Why the Audit Was Done

Federal law permits the Commission to conduct audits and field investigations of any political committee that is required to file reports under the Federal Election Campaign Act (the Act). The Commission generally conducts such audits when a committee appears not to have met the threshold requirements for substantial compliance with the Act.¹ The audit determines whether the committee complied with the limitations, prohibitions and disclosure requirements of the Act.

Future Action

The Commission may initiate an enforcement action, at a later time, with respect to the matter discussed in this report.

About the Committee (p. 2)

The Democratic Party of Arkansas is a state party committee headquartered in Little Rock, Arkansas. For more information, see the chart on the Committee Organization, p. 2.

Financial Activity (p. 2)

• Receipts	
○ Contributions from Individuals	\$ 620,492
○ Contributions from Other Political Committees	326,600
○ Transfers from Affiliated Party Committees	113,217
○ Transfers from Non-Federal Accounts	1,507,775
○ Other Receipts	119,305
Total Receipts	\$ 2,687,389
• Disbursements	
○ Operating Disbursements	\$ 2,213,994
○ Loan Repayments Made	31,319
○ Federal Election Activity	107,908
○ Transfers to Non-Federal Account	224,349
○ Other Disbursements	117,600
Total Disbursements	\$ 2,695,170

Commission Finding (p. 3)

- Misstatement of Financial Activity

¹ 2 U.S.C. §438(b).

Final Audit Report of the Commission on the Democratic Party of Arkansas

January 1, 2007 – December 31, 2008



Table of Contents

	Page
Part I. Background	
Authority for Audit	1
Scope of Audit	1
Audit Hearing	1
Part II. Overview of Committee	
Committee Organization	2
Overview of Financial Activity	2
Part III. Summary	
Commission Finding	3
Part IV. Commission Finding	
Misstatement of Financial Activity	4

Part I

Background

Authority for Audit

This report is based on an audit of the Democratic Party of Arkansas (DPA), undertaken by the Audit Division of the Federal Election Commission (the Commission) in accordance with the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the Act). The Audit Division conducted the audit pursuant to 2 U.S.C. §438(b), which permits the Commission to conduct audits and field investigations of any political committee that is required to file a report under 2 U.S.C. §434. Prior to conducting any audit under this subsection, the Commission must perform an internal review of reports filed by selected committees to determine if the reports filed by a particular committee meet the threshold requirements for substantial compliance with the Act. 2 U.S.C. §438(b).

Scope of Audit

Following Commission approved procedures, the Audit staff evaluated various risk factors and as a result, this audit examined:

1. The disclosure of disbursements, debts and obligations.
2. The disclosure of expenses allocated between federal and non-federal accounts.
3. The disclosure of individual contributors' occupation and name of employer.
4. The consistency between reported figures and bank records.
5. The completeness of records.
6. Other committee operations necessary to the review.

Audit Hearing

DPA declined an opportunity for a hearing before the Commission on the matter presented in this report.

Part II

Overview of Committee

Committee Organization

Important Dates	
• Date of Registration	March 8, 1976
• Audit Coverage	January 1, 2007 – December 31, 2008
Headquarters	
Little Rock, Arkansas	
Bank Information	
• Bank Depositories	Four
• Bank Accounts	Seven Federal, Five Non-federal, One Levin (unused)
Treasurer	
• Treasurer When Audit Was Conducted	Karen Garcia
• Treasurer During Period Covered by Audit	Karen Garcia
Management Information	
• Attended FEC Campaign Finance Seminar	Yes
• Who Handled Accounting and Recordkeeping Tasks	Paid staff

Overview of Financial Activity (Audited Amounts)

Cash-on-hand @ January 1, 2007	\$ 68,560
○ Contributions from Individuals	620,492
○ Contributions from Other Political Committees	326,600
○ Transfers from Affiliated Party Committees	113,217
○ Transfers from Non-Federal Accounts	1,507,775
○ Other Receipts	119,305
Total Receipts	\$ 2,687,389
○ Operating Disbursements	2,213,994
○ Loan Repayments Made	31,319
○ Federal Election Activity	107,908
○ Transfers to Non-Federal Account	224,349
○ Other Disbursements	117,600
Total Disbursements	\$ 2,695,170
Cash-on-hand @ December 31, 2008	\$ 60,779

Part III

Summary

Commission Finding

Misstatement of Financial Activity

During audit fieldwork, a comparison of DPA's reported activity with bank records revealed a misstatement of receipts and disbursements in 2007. DPA understated receipts and disbursements by \$148,974 and \$146,561, respectively.

In response to the Interim Audit Report, DPA amended its reports to materially correct the misstatements. The Commission approved this finding. (For more detail, see p. 4)

Part IV

Commission Finding

Misstatement of Financial Activity

Summary

During audit fieldwork, a comparison of DPA's reported activity with bank records revealed a misstatement of receipts and disbursements in 2007. DPA understated receipts and disbursements by \$148,974 and \$146,561, respectively.

In response to the Interim Audit Report, DPA amended its reports to materially correct the misstatements. The Commission approved this finding.

Legal Standard

Contents of Reports. Each report must disclose:

- the amount of cash on hand at the beginning and end of the reporting period;
- the total amount of receipts for the reporting period and for the calendar year;
- the total amount of disbursements for the reporting period and for the calendar year; and,
- certain transactions that require itemization on Schedule A (Itemized Receipts) or Schedule B (Itemized Disbursements). 2 U.S.C. §434(b)(1), (2), (3), (4) and (5).

Facts and Analysis

A. Facts

As part of fieldwork, the Audit staff reconciled DPA's reported activity to its bank records for 2007. The following chart outlines the discrepancies for the beginning cash balance, receipts, disbursements and the ending cash balance. Succeeding paragraphs address the reasons for the misstatements, if known.

2007 Committee Activity			
	Reported	Bank Records	Discrepancy
Beginning Cash Balance @ January 1, 2007	\$67,443	\$68,560	\$1,117 Understated
Receipts	\$1,410,249	\$1,559,223	\$148,974 Understated
Disbursements	\$1,400,534	\$1,547,095	\$146,561 Understated
Ending Cash Balance @ December 31, 2007	\$77,158	\$80,688	\$3,530 Understated

The beginning cash balance was understated by \$1,117 and is unexplained, but likely resulted from prior period discrepancies.

The understatement of receipts resulted from the following:

• Unreported fundraising proceeds	\$ 87,496
• Unreported reimbursement from non-federal account	66,000
• Unexplained difference	(4,522)
Net understatement of receipts	\$ 148,974

The understatement of disbursements resulted from the following:

• Unreported transfer of fundraising proceeds to non-federal account	\$ 87,496
• Unreported payment of non-federal activity	66,000
• Unexplained difference	(6,935)
Net understatement of disbursements	\$ 146,561

The \$87,496 adjustment included in both the understatement of receipts and disbursements represents net non-federal proceeds from fundraising events in which DPA received both federal and non-federal contributions. The contributions were received in the form of the ticket price paid to attend the events and were collected by a commercial ticket processing company. The company subsequently submitted a check to DPA for the proceeds, less its service fee. DPA deposited the check into its federal account, then calculated the non-federal share and transferred that amount to the non-federal account but failed to report the receipt of the non-federal portion of the proceeds and the transfer to the non-federal account (\$87,496). The federal portion along with the service fees were reported by DPA.

The \$66,000 adjustment included in both the understatement of receipts and disbursements represents non-federal activity that was mistakenly paid for out of a federal account, then reimbursed using non-federal funds. The reimbursement occurred approximately three weeks after the expense was paid, but neither transaction was reported by DPA.

The \$3,530 understatement of the ending cash balance was the result of the misstatements described above.

B. Interim Audit Report & Audit Division's Recommendation

The Audit staff discussed the misstatements for 2007 with DPA representatives at the exit conference and provided relevant work papers detailing the reporting errors. Regarding the reporting of the non-federal proceeds and subsequent transfer, DPA representatives explained they excluded from the report both the receipt and the transfer because they only deposited the proceeds in the federal account after receiving a single, co-mingled check, which included both federal and non-federal funds. They further added that they would amend the appropriate schedules as necessary to correct the misstatements. Regarding the non-federal activity that was paid for mistakenly out of a federal account and subsequently reimbursed using non-federal funds, DPA representatives contend that since both transactions occurred during the same reporting period, they were justified in excluding them from the report.

In the Interim Audit Report, the Audit staff recommended that DPA amend its 2007 reports to correct the misstatements noted above.

C. Committee Response to Interim Audit Report

In response to the Interim Audit Report, DPA filed amended reports that materially corrected the misstatements.

In addition, DPA provided evidence demonstrating that some adjustments suggested in the Interim Audit Report were not necessary. The amounts shown in the table above have been revised to reflect these changes.

D. Draft Final Audit Report

In the Draft Final Audit Report, the Audit staff acknowledged that DPA had amended its reports to disclose these transactions.

Commission Conclusion

On June 10, 2011, the Commission considered the Audit Division Recommendation Memorandum in which the Audit Division recommended that the Commission adopt a finding that DPA misstated its financial activity for 2007.

The Commission approved the Audit staff recommendation.